



Boundary – GPS – GIS Consulting
Subdivision Platting – Topographic Surveys
Oil & Gas – Construction & Hydrographic
Complete Professional Land Surveying Services

2205 Walnut Street
Columbus, Texas 78934

Phone: 979.732.3114
Fax: 979.732.5271
www.franksurveying.com

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: IB Docket No. 11-109

Dear Ms. Dortch,

I have serious concerns about LightSquared's proposal for a nationwide 4G LTE system. I'm afraid that neither LightSquared nor the FCC fully understands the impact of LightSquared's proposed system on GPS receivers, America's small businesses, and America's economy.

Hundreds of thousands of high-precision GPS receivers in the U.S. are used across many market segments including civil/environmental engineering, construction, land surveying, Geographic Information Systems (GIS), agriculture, forestry, road/rail/airport, hydrography, environmental, water/gas/electric/oil/telecom utilities, mining, bridge/dam monitoring, emergency management, defense & intelligence, higher education, and all levels of Federal, State and Local government.

To illustrate, allow me to describe some examples of how high-precision GPS is being used.

In road construction, high-precision GPS offers a 5-to-1 efficiency advantage over legacy construction equipment. Can you imagine the delays if road construction projects took five times longer to complete? High-precision GPS receivers are a critical component of these projects.

High-precision GPS users rely on a complex infrastructure of 7,000+ high-precision, fixed-mount GPS base stations deployed nationwide. The infrastructure began with a few receivers in the early 1990s and has been built upon over the past 18 years by the GPS user community volunteering time, money, equipment, and expertise. It would be impossible to replace all of these receivers since the ownership is so disparate. Many are publicly owned and the rest are commercially owned by businesses and used by people in all the market segments I listed above. To illustrate, one such network consisting of more than 875 high-precision GPS receivers is located in the western United States managed by UNAVCO, a university-governed consortium which is sponsored by the National Science Foundation (NSF), National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), and National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

UNAVCO uses this massive network of high-precision GPS receivers to, among other things, monitor the earth's crustal plate movement (think earthquake monitoring).

Another type of high-precision GPS network is called an RTK network. It delivers real-time, high-precision corrections to engineers, surveyors, Geographic Information Systems (GIS) specialists, construction specialists, and others. The particular network that my company has invested in is the Leica Geosystems North America SmartNet network. Our company helped establish this network as an initial user and base station provided, and has since become a multi-million dollar investment in high-precision GPS infrastructure.

Contrary to what LightSquared asserts, the GPS user community did not know anything about this potential interference until November 2010. LightSquared and the FCC incorrectly assumed that communicating/negotiating with the U.S. GPS Industry Council (USGIC) was the equivalent of

communicating/negotiating with the GPS user community. That is a false assumption. The USGIC does not communicate directly with the GPS user community and never has. That's not its role. I attend almost every major GPS conference and high-precision GPS market segment conference in Texas and the U.S. The first I'd heard about the LightSquared interference issue was November 2010.

Furthermore, there is a clear precedent already set that demonstrates how to handle a case very similar to the current LightSquared situation. In 2008, the U.S. Air Force proposed to discontinue supporting the semicodeless technique that is used by virtually every civilian L1/L2 high-precision GPS receiver in existence. It was the first time in history that an action would render several hundred thousand high-precision GPS receivers obsolete, a scale which is very similar to the impact of the LightSquared system.

LightSquared, on the other hand, either didn't do its homework or intentionally kept quiet in order to fly under the radar and push its initiative through before the GPS user community (and others) knew what was happening. In either case, the GPS user community shouldn't be held accountable in paying for the FCC's and LightSquared's lack of communication/notification.

The idea of LightSquared using its licensed upper frequency spectrum (1545-1555 MHz) for terrestrial purpose needs to be permanently abandoned. It's clear from the test results that this causes widespread GPS interference no matter which class of GPS is used.

Finally, I would like to emphasize that the GPS user community should bear no cost as a result of any interference from LightSquared's system. The GPS user community was blindsided in November 2010. While you can debate whether about the communication between the FCC, MSV/Skyterra/LightSquared, and the U.S. GPS Industry Council, no case can be made that the GPS user community knew of MSV/Skyterra/LightSquared's intentions earlier than late last year.

Even if LightSquared only uses the licensed lower spectrum (1526-1536 MHz), as it has proposed as an alternative, the number of high-precision receivers affected would be at least 200,000 at an estimated replacement cost of \$10,000 per unit which equates to a total equipment replacement cost of \$2 billion dollars. That does not include the cost of removal/installation, lost productivity, required software upgrades, and training. Does the FCC expect the GPS user community to bear that cost?

For the above reasons, I recommend that the FCC deny LightSquared's request to proceed and encourage them to use spectrum outside of the MSS band. The resources expended by federal/state/local governments and private corporations to vet LightSquared's proposal to use the MSS band has run into the tens of millions of dollars, if not more than a one hundred million dollars. I'm afraid the cost of further vetting will double or triple the expenditure as well as result in tremendous opportunity cost as significant resources are expended by public and commercial entities to continue this debate.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'M. Loessin', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Matthew W. Loessin, RPLS
President
Frank Surveying Co., Inc.